Temporal evolution of microstructure and rheology of sheared two-dimensional foams
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

We measure the shear rheology of two-dimensional liquid foams in a Couette device, and correlate the variation of the shear stress with the evolution of the foam microstructure. For a monodisperse foam, inception of shearing results in a rapid rise of the shear stress, which then saturates into a quasi-steady state of fluctuations about a time-independent mean value. The dominant frequency of the oscillation correlates closely with the time scale of one row of bubbles sliding past the next row. The mean stress decreases with increasing bubble size. In bidisperse foams, the shear stress exhibits two regimes of transient behavior. If the shear rate and the large-to-small bubble size ratio are both below certain thresholds, the stress behaves similarly to that in a monodisperse foam. Above these thresholds, however, the instantaneous shear stress oscillates around a mean value that declines gradually over several minutes. The foam eventually approaches a quasi-steady state similar to that of a monodisperse foam. This gradual decrease in shear stress can be attributed to the size-based segregation of bubbles. Finally, we propose a mixing rule that relates the effective viscosity of a bidisperse foam in the quasi-steady state to those of monodisperse foams made of the two constituent bubble sizes.

\section{Introduction}

Liquid foams are made of simple ingredients—air, a Newtonian liquid and surfactants—and yet exhibit complex flow and rheological behavior \cite{1,2}. Broadly speaking, the key to their mechanical properties should be sought in the microstructure on the scales of individual bubbles and clusters. Much has been learned about this structure–property connection in the past few decades \cite{3–6}, but much remains to be illuminated.

It is well known that a liquid foam is intrinsically unstable. For instance, the structure of a static foam may coarsen over time as the liquid film drains and ruptures, causing bubble coalescence \cite{7}. Gravity may aid the liquid drainage \cite{8}. The gas may also diffuse from smaller to larger bubbles through Ostwald ripening \cite{9}. Finally, bubbles at the foam surface may burst and become lost \cite{10,11}. When subject to deformation and flow, foam structure undergoes more dynamic changes \cite{12–14}. A hallmark event is the so-called T1 process, when a cluster of four bubbles swap neighbors \cite{15}. Besides, the breakup, coalescence, lateral migration and shear-induced diffusion of bubbles may also contribute to the morphological evolution of liquid foam \cite{12,13,16,17,14}.

Previous studies on foam rheology have revealed a similar degree of complexity. For instance, liquid foams typically exhibit yield stresses, shear thinning, elasticity and normal stress differences \cite{2,5,18–20}. Furthermore, local velocity measurements have indicated that the rheology of foam is non-local; using the bulk rheology of the foam as a whole may not predict the correct velocity profile \cite{21,19}. The mismatch has been ascribed to cooperative motion of bubbles under shear, perhaps in the form of bubble clusters that move and deform coherently \cite{22,21}. A potentially related issue is shear banding \cite{23}, whose existence in foam is still controversial \cite{24}.

Most of the work cited above investigated either the structure or the rheology of liquid foam. To our knowledge, only three studies have probed the correspondence between structural and rheological evolutions in sheared liquid foam. Lauridsen et al. \cite{25} demonstrated that for a two-dimensional (2D) foam undergoing small deformations, T1 events lead to oscillation of the shear stress. Herzhaft \cite{12} sheared a three-dimensional (3D) polydisperse foam between parallel plates. Upon start of shearing, the shear stress jumps up almost instantaneously, and then gradually declines in time. The rate of decline seems to become smaller in time, but at
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the end of the experiment, after 10 min of shearing, the stress has not yet reached steady state. Comparing the foam morphology before and after the shearing, Herzhaft reported the prevalence of larger bubbles in the middle of the gap and smaller ones at the plates. There is no further investigation of the cause of this morphology, e.g., whether the bubbles have segregated according to size, broken up near the walls or coalesced in the middle. Moreover, it is unclear how the morphological change has led to the decline in the shear stress. Golemanov et al. [13] performed similar experiments in a parallel-plate geometry, but reported very different results. If the shear rate is below a certain threshold, the shear stress quickly attains a constant value after the startup of shear, and shows no further temporal evolution. Above the threshold, however, the instantaneous stress exhibits a gradual increase over a time of about 5–10 min. At the end, it may or may not have approached a steady state depending on the shear rate and makeup of the foam. In the meantime, visual observations show that large bubbles tend to break up into smaller ones because of the shearing. Therefore, they concluded that the breakup of bubbles had caused the rise in the shear stress.

These experiments offer tantalizing hints at a direct connection between the structural evolution of the liquid foam and its rheology. Yet, they fail to form a coherent framework for understanding that connection. In fact, two of the main results on the temporal variation of the shear stress appear to contradict each other [12,13]. Hence the motivation for the present study. We will examine the morphology–rheology relationship in well-defined 2D foams under carefully controlled experimental conditions. The two-dimensionality affords easy visualization of the foam morphology. The main finding of our work is that size-based segregation in bidisperse foams produces a gradual decline in the shear stress, in apparent agreement with Herzhaft [12]. This decline happens from all initial foam morphologies tested, somewhat to our surprise.

2. Materials and methods

The preparation of the foams, the setup of the shear cell and the methods of visualization and stress measurement are all similar to those used in recent studies [17,14,26]. Therefore we only give a brief overview in the following. The liquid is a mixture of deionized water, glycerol and dish washing liquid, the composition being such as to produce the desired viscosity and foam stability. Bubbles are generated by injecting nitrogen through a micro-needle that is driven by a pico-pump (WPI, model PV-820). The flow rate can be controlled to high precision to yield bubbles of uniform size. Thus the foam is generated one bubble at a time. Monodisperse foams are made with four different bubble radii: \( R_1 = 0.26 \pm 0.01 \text{ mm} \), \( R_2 = 0.37 \pm 0.02 \text{ mm} \), \( R_3 = 0.44 \pm 0.03 \text{ mm} \) and \( R_4 = 0.55 \pm 0.04 \text{ mm} \). The bidisperse foams are made from combining two of these bubble species at areal fractions \( \Phi_i \) for species \( R_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, 4 \). The bubble size ratio \( \kappa \) is defined as the ratio of the larger bubble to the smaller one. Different initial spatial distributions of the bubbles can be realized with ease, e.g., with the larger bubbles concentrated at one of the walls or roughly uniformly distributed throughout the gap. The foam quality, i.e. the total areal fraction of the bubbles, is kept constant at \( \phi = 0.84 \) for all the monodisperse and bidisperse foams tested in this study.

The present paper focuses on two aspects of the flow of liquid foam: rheology and microstructural evolution. The custom-made Couette flow cell consists of co-axial cylinders with the inner cylinder mounted on a commercial rheometer (Malvern Kinexus). The radius of the inner cylinder is \( R_i = 22 \text{ mm} \) and that of the outer cylinder is \( R_o = 35 \text{ mm} \). The wide gap allows a large number of bubbles and captures the bulk rheology and large-scale structure of the foam. Sand papers are glued on both the inner and outer cylinders to prevent slippage of bubbles during measurements. Shear stress is measured at the inner cylinder with the 2D foam floating on the surface of the Newtonian soap solution. A separate measurement is done on the liquid alone, without the bubble raft. The latter stress is then subtracted from the former to obtain the shear stress for the foam. Simultaneously, the microstructure of the foam is monitored using a high-speed camera (Megaspeed, MS 70 K). Moreover, we measure the bubble velocity profile across the gap by a type of particle image velocimetry. It tracks the bubble position in consecutive exposures and calculates the bubble velocity via image analysis using PIVlab, an open-source code developed by Thielicke and Stamhuis [27].

We define a characteristic shear rate \( \dot{\gamma} \) as that at the inner cylinder of the Couette device according to the following formula [28,26]:

\[
\dot{\gamma} = 2\Omega \frac{\Gamma}{1 - R_i^2/R_o^2} - \Omega \frac{1 - \Gamma}{\ln(R_i/R_o)},
\]

where \( \Omega \) is the rotational rate of the inner cylinder in radians per second, and \( \Gamma = d(\ln \Omega)/d(\ln M) \). \( M \) being the torque on the inner cylinder. In cases where \( M \) evolves in time, we have used the time-averaged torque over the duration of the experiment. The foams yield across the entire gap at shear rates around 0.3 s\(^{-1}\), and all results to be reported are for higher shear rates in the fully yielded regime. In the following we present experiments on monodisperse and bidisperse foams in turn.

3. Experimental results: monodisperse foam

3.1. Temporal evolution of shear stress

Fig. 1 shows the typical behavior of the instantaneous shear stress \( \tau_i \) of monodisperse foams after inception of shearing at a constant \( \Omega \). The shear stress quickly increases to a “quasi-steady state” in which it oscillates around a mean value that is more or less constant in time. However, if we perform similar experiments with the liquid soap solution alone, the shear stress exhibits no oscillation. This implies that the oscillation originates from the
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**Fig. 1.** Temporal evolution of the instantaneous shear stress for two monodisperse foams of different bubble sizes at different shear rates. The shear stress of the liquid alone is also shown for comparison.
discrete nature of the foam, possibly from bubble rearrangement. The amplitude of the oscillation is on the order of 10% of the mean stress.

Similar oscillations in the shear stress have been reported by Lauridsen et al. [25] on a polydisperse 2D foam. They attributed the oscillation to local bubble rearrangement during T1 events. Our experimental setup differs somewhat. First, in our monodisperse foam, bubbles slide past each other in rows, following a zig-zag path. This can be viewed as T1 transition happening for rows of bubbles in a synchronized fashion, and is thus more regular than in polydisperse foams. Second, Lauridsen et al. used much lower shear rates down to $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, the lower bound approaching the so-called quasi-static limit where viscous dissipation becomes negligible. Thus, the stress oscillation in their experiment is mostly due to the cyclic buildup and release of elastic stresses. In our experiments, the shear rates are much higher and viscous dissipation is important. Nevertheless, the sliding between rows periodically squeezes, shears and stretches the liquid film between rows of bubbles, thus producing the oscillatory stress.

The above discussion suggests that the oscillating stress should exhibit a dominant frequency related to that of one row of bubbles sliding past the next row. Because of the non-uniformity of the shear rate across the gap of the Couette device, this frequency differs among different rows, being the highest at the innermost row. As the stress is measured at the inner cylinder, one expects the sliding between the two innermost rows to dominate the stress oscillation. To confirm this, we carry out Fast Fourier Transform on the stress-time signal over a time window of about 100 s with a sampling frequency of 10 Hz. For all data analyzed, the stress oscillation exhibits a dominant frequency, and an example for $R_1 = 0.26$ mm at $\dot{\gamma} = 8.45$ s$^{-1}$ is shown in Fig. 2a. The dominant frequency increases with the shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$ roughly linearly.

To be more precise, we scale the dominant frequency by the frequency of T1 events between the two innermost rows of bubbles. To estimate the period of a T1 transition, we divide the bubble diameter by the difference in velocity evaluated at the center of the two rows. Fig. 2b plots the dimensionless dominant frequency $\omega_b$ against the shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$. The dominant frequency agrees with the frequency of the T1 neighbor swap within a factor of 2. The lack of a precise correspondence probably reflects the contribution of the outer rows in the bubble raft. The scatter in the data may also be partly due to the fact that the bubbles are slightly deformed and thus the length scales in estimating the frequency of T1 transition are subject to errors.

### 3.2. Average shear stress

Now we examine the average shear stress $\tau$ as a function of the shear rate and bubble size. Prior rheological measurements on 2D and 3D foam [19,20,26] have shown that the shear stress is well represented by the Herschel–Bulkley model:

$$\tau = \tau_0 + K\dot{\gamma}^{n}$$

where $\tau_0$ is the yield stress, and $K$ and $n$ are the consistency factor and power-law index. Our monodisperse 2D foam conforms to this model as well. A single power index $n = 0.54$ applies to all four bubble sizes (Fig. 3). Furthermore, Fig. 1 indicates a strong dependence of $\tau$ on the bubble size, larger bubbles apparently producing a lower stress. We have found that across the range of bubble sizes tested, the dependence on bubble size $R$ can be represented by $\tau - \tau_0 \propto R^{-2}$. Scalled thus, all the data collapse around a straight-line in the log–log plot of Fig. 3. The inset shows that the yield stress $\tau_0$ also decreases with increasing bubble size, observing a scaling of $\tau_0 \propto R^{-3/2}$. Since $\tau_0$ and $\tau - \tau_0$ exhibit different scalings with $R$, we have found it convenient to examine the two parts separately in the above. Of course, one may also define an effective viscosity $\eta = \tau/\dot{\gamma}$ as a rheological property of the foam. Then the data indicate that $\eta$ decreases with bubble size, with a scaling well approximated by $\eta \propto R^{-1.85}$ over the entire $\dot{\gamma}$ range tested.

Why do large bubbles produce lower effective viscosity for the foam? This question can be examined in the frame work of the Princen–Kiss model [29]. Fitting rheological data to a theoretical model based on the viscous dissipation in liquid films between bubbles, Princen and Kiss [29] arrived at the following equations for 3D concentrated emulsions and foams:

$$\tau = \tau_0 + \frac{32\sigma}{R} Ca^{1/2}(\phi - 0.73).$$

$$\tau_0 = \frac{\sigma}{R} \phi^{1/3}[-0.08 - 0.114\log_{10}(1 - \phi)].$$

where $\phi$ and $\sigma$ are the areal fraction and the surface tension of the bubbles, respectively, and $Ca = \mu R_0^2/\sigma$ is the capillary number defined using the liquid viscosity $\mu$. The main role of the bubble size is in defining the number of dissipating borders per unit volume. Increasing the bubble size would result in fewer dissipating borders per unit volume and reduce the total length of these borders. Hence lower viscous dissipation. Therefore, the larger the bubble size of a foam, the smaller its effective viscosity.

Our measurements in 2D foam bear out the same trend, but there are quantitative differences (c.f. Fig. 3). For instance, according to Princen and Kiss [29], the stress $\tau - \tau_0$ should be proportional to $\sigma^{1/2}$ and $R^{-1/2}$ in a 3D foam. In our 2D foam, $\tau - \tau_0$ shows a similar scaling to the shear rate ($\tau \propto \dot{\gamma}^{0.54}$) but a stronger scaling to the bubble size ($\tau \propto R^{-2}$). Furthermore, the yield stress $\tau_0$ scales with $R^{-1}$ in the Princen–Kiss model, while our data show $\tau_0 \propto R^{-3/2}$. The different scalings with respect to the bubble size probably reflect the dimensionality of the foam. In our 2D foams, the key parameter is the number of neighboring bubbles per unit area rather than unit volume.

### 4. Experimental results: bidisperse foam

In this section, we report on the rheology of bidisperse foams in combination with their microstructural evolution. First, we study the transient shear stress as the foams undergo size-based segregation. Then we examine how the average shear stress in the quasi-steady “equilibrium state” depends on the shear rate, sizes of the small and large bubbles and their areal fractions.

#### 4.1. Size-based bubble segregation and stress decline

Recent experiments from our laboratory have demonstrated size-based segregation in sheared polydisperse foam, provided that the shear rate and the bubble size ratio both exceed threshold values [14,26]. The segregation consists in the large bubbles migrating away from each of the walls, and an example of the lateral migration is shown by the initial and final bubble distributions of Fig. 4a. The migration is due to three forces. The first is a wall repulsion similar to the Chan–Leal force on a suspended drop under shear [30,17]. The second is a lateral force due to the non-Newtonian rheology of the foam under inhomogeneous shear in a wide-gap Couette device [31,26]. Finally, the interaction among the large bubbles produce an effective diffusion that tends to spread out the key parameter is the number of neighboring bubbles per unit area rather than unit volume.
behavior of shear stress. Fig. 6 depicts the instantaneous shear stress. This is demonstrated in Fig. 5 by the instantaneous segregation among the bubbles gives rise to a gradual decline of the shear stress. This resembles the quasi-steady equilibrium that a bidisperse foam achieves after prolonged shearing at high rate (cf. Fig. 5). For $\kappa = R_0/R_1 = 1.69$ and $\kappa = R_0/R_1 = 2.11$, on the other hand, the shear stress decreases gradually over 5–10 min toward a quasi-steady value. Not surprisingly, size-based segregation happens for the larger $\kappa$ values but not the lowest one. This provides additional evidence on the correspondence between size-based segregation and temporal evolution of rheology.

Based on our earlier studies [17,14], the threshold shear rate for a bubble size ratio $R_0/R_1 = 2.11$ is roughly $\dot{\gamma} = 1.8$ s$^{-1}$. Fig. 5 shows stress decline for $\dot{\gamma} = 2.76$ s$^{-1}$ but not 1.66 s$^{-1}$, in agreement with the previously determined threshold. Similarly, the threshold bubble size ratio for $\dot{\gamma} = 2.76$ s$^{-1}$ is $\kappa = 1.85$. This is somewhat above $\kappa = R_0/R_1 = 1.69$ for which stress decline occurs in Fig. 6. But considering the uncertainties in the bubble sizes, $R_0 = 0.26 \pm 0.01$ mm and $R_0 = 0.44 \pm 0.03$ mm, the difference is insignificant, and the overall behavior of Fig. 6 is consistent with the threshold in bubble size ratio. Also note that larger $\kappa$ produces faster approach to the quasi-steady equilibrium that a bidisperse foam achieves after prolonged shearing at high rate (cf. Fig. 5).

The most important finding of the current study is that the size segregation among the bubbles gives rise to a gradual decline of the shear stress. This is demonstrated in Fig. 5 by the instantaneous shear stress of a bidisperse foam under five different shear rates. For lower shear rates ($\dot{\gamma} \leq 1.66$ s$^{-1}$), the shear stress oscillates around a time-independent mean value, a behavior similar to that of monodisperse foams. For higher shear rates ($\dot{\gamma} > 2.76$ s$^{-1}$), the shear stress still oscillates, if less regularly, but its mean value declines gradually in time toward a quasi-steady equilibrium. Examining the foam morphology shows that the decline in stress is concomitant of the size-based bubble segregation (Fig. 4a).

The bubble size ratio shows a similar effect on the transient behavior of shear stress. Fig. 6 depicts the instantaneous shear stress measured for different bubble size ratios at $\dot{\gamma} = 2.76$ s$^{-1}$. For the smallest bubble size ratio $\kappa = R_0/R_1 = 1.42$, the shear stress fluctuates but shows no consistent trend of rising or declining over the duration of the experiment. This resembles the situation where a bubble cannot break into the next row, and no migration or size segregation occurs (Fig. 4b).

The large-bubble distribution at two shear rates for a bidisperse foam with $\phi_1 = 80\%$ and $\phi_2 = 20\%$. The large-bubble areal fraction $\phi_1$ at each radial position $s$ is scaled by $\phi_0$, such that the area under the distribution is unity. The inner and outer walls are at $s = 0$ and 1. (a) At $\dot{\gamma} = 5.53$ s$^{-1}$, outward migration leads to an equilibrium large-bubble distribution after 10 min of shearing. Insets show snapshots of the foam. (b) At $\dot{\gamma} = 1.66$ s$^{-1}$, no such migration occurs.
final equilibrium; this is due to faster bubble migration and segregation [14]. Increasing the shear rate does not seem to have a similar effect in Fig. 5. Although the large bubbles migrate faster at higher $\dot{\gamma}$, they also have a longer distance to go to reach the more peaked equilibrium distribution [14]. The two effects tend to cancel each other.

Conceivably, different foam morphologies, i.e., different distributions of the large bubbles in the sea of small bubbles, entail larger or smaller viscous dissipation in the system when sheared. Thus, when the morphology evolves, so should the measured shear stress. Then the question arises as to which morphologies are the most and least costly in terms of energy dissipation. In monodisperse foam, the shear stress decreases with bubble size (Fig. 1), and larger bubbles seem to be less dissipative. Based on this, one may expect that placing the large bubbles at the inner cylinder, where the shear rate is the highest, should minimize energy dissipation. To test this hypothesis, we monitor the stress transient for foams of different initial morphologies, with the large bubbles initially concentrated near the inner cylinder, the outer cylinder, or more or less uniformly distributed throughout the gap (Fig. 7). As we have anticipated, having the large bubbles near the inner (or outer) cylinder does produce the lowest (or highest) initial stress. Surprisingly, however, the shear stress declines monotonically from this initial stress in all cases, approaching the same equilibrium value. In the mean time, the large bubbles migrate and the foams approach the same equilibrium morphology. If we use the equilibrium morphology as initial condition, the shear stress fluctuates but its mean shows no decline or rise.

At present we have no solid explanation for the curious fact that size segregation of the bubbles always leads to decline of the shear stress, never a rise, regardless of the initial foam morphology and the direction of the lateral migration for the large bubbles. We offer one speculation on the cause. The lateral migration of large bubbles among smaller ones incurs additional energy dissipation, regardless of the direction of the lateral movement. This is in addition to the viscous dissipation due to the streamwise shearing of the foam. Thus, any lateral movement of large bubbles increases the effective viscosity of the foam. Note that the amount of stress decline in Fig. 7 far exceeds the initial difference among the three samples. This supports the notion that the act of migration is more important than the large-bubble distribution. If this argument holds, the size-segregated equilibrium morphology corresponds to minimum dissipation in bidisperse foams for no other reason than the lack of lateral bubble motion. This recalls a similar phenomenon in sheared micellar solutions, which may exhibit bands of nematic and isotropic micelles. Upon shear startup, the shear stress exhibits a series of transitions, one of which being a stress relaxation that resembles that observed in our foam [32]. This has been attributed to the radial shift of the boundary between nematic and isotropic bands toward an equilibrium configuration.
Finally, Herzhaft [12] reported similar stress relaxation in polydisperse 3D foam sheared between parallel plates. Initially, the bubbles of different sizes are well mixed and randomly positioned in space. At the end of roughly 10 min of shearing, the morphology of the foam, viewed at the edge of the parallel-plate device, shows a predominance of larger bubbles in the middle of the gap and smaller ones near the two plates. Herzhaft [12] did not explore the cause of the morphological change, nor the mechanism for the stress decline. In the light of our findings in the 2D foams, size-based segregation may have occurred in the 3D foam as well, and that may have caused the gradual decline in the shear stress reported by Herzhaft [12].

4.2. Equilibrium state

Once the foam morphology has evolved into an equilibrium state, the shear stress fluctuates around a mean value that no longer changes in time. This behavior resembles that of monodisperse foams as well as bidisperse foams that do not experience size segregation. As for monodisperse foams, the stress fluctuation can be quantified by Fast Fourier Transform. Fig. 8 shows the dominant frequency for six bidisperse foams over the range of shear rates tested. Similar to Fig. 2b, the dominant frequency $\omega_d$ has been made dimensionless by the frequency of T1 transition between the two innermost rows of small bubbles. In the equilibrium morphology, the large bubbles are concentrated in the middle of the gap and stay clear of these inner rows. We again see an agreement between the two frequencies to within a factor of 2, for foams of different large-bubble sizes and area fractions. The largest bubbles ($R_4$) appear to produce the highest frequencies. But the intermediate bubbles ($R_3$) have a slightly lower frequency than the smallest ones ($R_2$). Thus, there is no clear-cut trend in how $\omega_d$ varies with the large-bubble size.

We now examine how the mean stress or the effective viscosity depends on two attributes of foam morphology, the bubble sizes and the area fraction of each species. First, our results show that the effective viscosity of a bidisperse foam is always bounded by those of the two constituent monodisperse foams. This is illustrated by the two plots in Fig. 9, but holds generally for all bidisperse foams tested in this study. For example, at the same shear rate, bidisperse foams made of $R_1$ and $R_3$ bubbles have stresses above that of the monodisperse foam of $R_3$ bubbles and below that of the monodisperse foam of $R_1$ bubbles. Second, the effective viscosity increases when the fraction of the small bubbles increases and that of the large bubbles decreases. This is consistent with our observations of monodisperse foams, whose effective viscosity decreases with increasing bubble size. Third, with the area fractions of the two bubble species fixed, increasing the size of the large bubble lowers the effective viscosity. This effect can be seen from comparing the data sets represented by circles in Fig. 9a and b. Conceivably, increasing the size of the smaller bubbles may have a similar effect, but at present we have no data to support it.

To quantify these observations, we have tested various “mixing rules” to relate the effective viscosity of a bidisperse foam to those of the two monodisperse foams containing each of the bubble species. If the small and large bubbles were completely segregated, one could compute the total viscous dissipation by summing that in each bubble population. This would suggest a simple weighted average for the effective viscosity of the bidisperse foam:

$$\eta = \Phi_1 \eta_1 + \Phi_2 \eta_2.$$  

(5)
As it turns out, this formula over-predicts the viscosity of the bidisperse foams in our study, by 20–50%. Thus, a degree of mixing appears to lower the effective viscosity, perhaps by virtue of large bubbles shielding smaller ones as observed before [17]. In the end, we find the “harmonic weighted average”

\[
\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{\phi_i}{\eta_i} + \frac{\phi_o}{\eta_o}
\]

to predict accurately the effective viscosity of a bidisperse foam. Its predictions have been shown for the four bidisperse foams in Fig. 9, and it applies equally well to the other compositions that we have tested.

5. Summary

We have studied the rheological and structural evolution of monodisperse and bidisperse 2D foams in a wide-gap Couette shear cell. We are interested in the morphology–rheology relationship in fully yielded foams. The main experimental findings can be summarized as follows.

(a) In a monodisperse foam, the shear stress oscillates about an average stress that does not change in time. The oscillation stems from the zigzag motion of a bubble over the next row of bubbles, as neighboring rows slide past each other. Its frequency correlates closely with that of neighbor-swapping T1 transitions between the first two rows of bubbles next to the inner cylinder.

(b) In a monodisperse foam, the average shear stress varies with the shear rate according to the Herschel–Bulkley model. Moreover, with the bubble areal fraction kept constant, the effective viscosity of the foam decreases with increasing bubble size. This is because increasing the bubble size decreases the number of dissipating borders between bubbles.

(c) Bidisperse foams exhibit two regimes of dynamics under shear. If the shear rate and large-to-small bubble size ratio are both below threshold values, the shear stress behaves similarly to that of a monodisperse foam. Above these thresholds, however, the shear stress oscillates about a mean that decreases in time toward a constant equilibrium stress.

(d) The decline of the mean stress or effective viscosity corresponds to the spatial segregation of the two bubble species, and the quasi-steady state reached in the end corresponds to the equilibrium morphology of the foam, with small bubbles at the two walls and large bubbles concentrated in the middle. The thresholds in shear rate and bubble size ratio agree with those previously established for bubble segregation.

(e) The decline in effective viscosity has been observed from all initial bubble distributions tested, except when the initial morphology is the equilibrium one already. We hypothesize that the lateral migration of large bubbles incurs viscous dissipation and contributes to the shear viscosity. Thus, the effective viscosity declines as the intensity of lateral migration falls in time.

(f) In the quasi-steady state of a bidisperse foam, the dominant frequency of stress fluctuation correlates well with that of the T1 transition of the innermost two rows of small bubbles. The effective viscosity falls between those of two monodisperse foams made of each of the two constituent bubble species. We propose a mixing rule that predicts the effective viscosity of the bidisperse foam from those of the monodisperse foams.

Harking back to the motivation and objective of this study, we have established a clear connection between one type of morphological evolution—bubble segregation by size—and changes in foam rheology. This is achieved in a purposely simplified geometry where the two-dimensionality of the foam allows unambiguous observation and interpretation of the foam structure. In this light, we surmise that shear-induced bubble segregation may have caused the stress relaxation in the 3D polydisperse foam of Herzhaf [12] as well. The same methodology may be extended to 3D foams undergoing other types of structural changes in more complex flows. Such experiments will lead to a more coherent understanding of how microstructural and rheological changes are coupled together in this quintessential type of soft matter.
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